

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/734,399	SHENOY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Suchin Parihar	2825	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Allowable

 (1) Suchin Parihar (Examiner).

(3) _____.

 (2) Shun Yao (Reg.# 59,242).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 June 2007
Time: _____.

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
1, 11, 21,31 and 32

Prior art documents discussed:
none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner phoned Applicant to suggest amending independent claims 1, 11, 21, 31 and 32 in order to overcome a potential USC 112 2nd paragraph rejection, in order to place the application into a condition for allowance. Examiner pointed out to Applicant that the language "wherein a pin may be specified/associated", as recited in the independent claims, is indefinite and is subject to a potential 112 rejection. Examiner has made the suggested changes by way of Examiner's Amendment.



Suchin Parihar
Examiner
AU 2825
571-272-6210 .